**Ph.D. Qualifying Exam**

**Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland**

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Title of Qualifying Exam Proposal:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation/Guidance** Each committee member check an box under the evaluation metric that they feel best represents the candidate’s performance | **Does Not Meet Expectations** | | | | **Meets Expectations** | | | | **Exemplary Performance** | | | |
| QE committee member⇒ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. Does the proposal indicate a scientific understanding of materials science and engineering? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Does the proposal show an understanding of the problem? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Was the solution to the problem logical and well presented? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

***apopper***

*D:20101011220357-04'00'10/11/2010 9:03:57 PM*

--------------------------------------------

PURDUE Engineering Dissertation Defense

https://engineering.purdue.edu/NE/Academics/Graduate/Rubric/EVALUATION%20RUBRIC\_PhD\_Dissertation\_Defense\_NE.pdf

**Overall Assessment:** The assessment of the overall performance of the candidate based on the evidence provided in items above.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **PERFORMANCE RATINGS** | | |
| **Does NOT PASS** **Ph.D. Qualifying Exam** | **Passes Ph.D. Qualifying Exam** | |
| **Rating of the Ph.D.**  **Qualifying Exam:** | **Does not meet** **Expectations** | **Meets Expectations** | **Exemplary** **Performance** |
|  |  |  |

**Qualifying Exam Sub-Committee Members**

Name: Signature: Date:

(Sub-committee Chair)

Name: Signature: Date:

Name: Signature: Date:

Name: Signature: Date:

Additional Comments: